

BEFORE THE
POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

MAIL PROCESSING NETWORK RATIONALIZATION
SERVICE CHANGES, 2011

Docket No. N2012-1

**RESPONSE OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE
TO AMERICAN POSTAL WORKERS UNION INTERROGATORIES
REDIRECTED FROM WITNESS DAVID WILLIAMS
(APWU/USPS-T1-16 and 17)**

The United States Postal Service hereby files institutional responses to the above-identified interrogatories of the American Postal Workers Union, dated January 11, 2012. The interrogatories have been redirected from witness Williams to the Postal Service for response. Each interrogatory is stated verbatim and followed by the response.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By its attorneys:

Anthony F. Alverno, Jr.
Chief Counsel, Global Business

Michael T. Tidwell

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20260-1137
(202) 268-2998; Fax -5402
January 23, 2012

**RESPONSE OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE
TO AMERICAN POSTAL WORKERS UNION INTERROGATORY
REDIRECTED FROM WITNESS WILLIAMS**

APWU/USPS-T1-16 Other than the Postmaster General, who at the Postal Service would have the responsibility for determining the appropriateness of proposing a 5.6 percent exigency rate increase at the same time that service cuts are being carried out as described with reference to the three cases cited above?

RESPONSE

The Postmaster General confers with the other members of the Executive

Leadership Team. See also 39 C.F.R. § 3.4.

**RESPONSE OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE
TO AMERICAN POSTAL WORKERS UNION INTERROGATORY
REDIRECTED FROM WITNESS WILLIAMS**

APWU/USPS-T1-17 Other than the Postmaster General, who at the Postal Service would have the responsibility for determining the appropriateness of proposing an exigency rate increase in an amount greater than 5.6 percent as an alternative to proposing the service cuts that are being carried out as described with reference to the three cases cited above?

RESPONSE

The Postmaster General confers with the other members of the Executive

Leadership Team. See also 39 C.F.R. § 3.4.